

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

JORDAN EL-TAHEL, together with her  
marital community,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WHATCOM COUNTY, a government  
entity, WHATCOM COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
DEPARTMENT, BILL ELFO, and ADAM  
MILLER, and marital community.

Defendants.

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

**JURISDICTION**

1. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1331. Federal question jurisdiction arises pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983.

**VENUE**

2. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391. All events or omissions giving rise to these claims occurred in the Western District of Washington.

**PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Jordan El-Tahel is an individual previously residing in Whatcom County, Washington during all times relevant to this complaint.
4. Defendant Adam Miller was employed as a corrections deputy at Whatcom County Sheriff's Office during all times relevant to this complaint.
5. Defendant Whatcom County is a government entity in the State of Washington.

- 1 6. Defendant Bill Elfo is the elected Sheriff for Whatcom County and oversees  
2 Whatcom County Jail staff.

3 **FACTS**

- 4 7. A Whatcom County Corrections Deputy Adam Miller was hired and employed by  
5 Whatcom County Sherriff's Office.

- 6 8. Defendant Miller during the course of his employment made sexual advances  
7 towards Plaintiff Jordan El-Tahel while she was under Whatcom County's care,  
8 custody, and control.

- 9 9. Whatcom County had a duty to protect Plaintiff while she was in its custody.

- 10 10. After her release from custody Defendant Miller stalked and sought out Plaintiff at  
11 a friend's house where he appeared unannounced attempting to initiate  
12 additional sexual advances.

- 13 11. Plaintiff returned to custody during the Fall of 2018 under the control of Deputy  
14 Miller.

- 15 12. Miller had complete control over Plaintiff's every movement, monitoring her by  
16 camera then calling to tell her he was watching.

- 17 13. Defendant Miller utilized other deputies to get Plaintiff for him in order to create  
18 the appearance that none of the deputies were safe for her and all of them were  
19 involved and had Miller's back.

- 20 14. After Whatcom County Jail was notified of improper conduct by Miller the first  
21 time, it failed to conduct a proper investigation and Plaintiff remained under the  
22 control of Miller.

- 23 15. Miller was taken to areas off camera and sexually assaulted.

- 24 16. Miller used the attorney line to place unrecorded phone calls into Plaintiff's cell  
25 block to ensure that Plaintiff knew he was watching her.

- 26 17. After initial reports of the improper conduct were made, Miller made threats to  
27 place Plaintiff in isolation.  
28

1 18. Per Whatcom County Policy the Whatcom County Sherriff is responsible for  
2 ensuring investigations into sexual misconduct.

3 19. Defendant's breached their duty to conduct an investigation into sexual  
4 misconduct.

5 20. Defendant's breached their duty to keep Plaintiff safe from sexual misconduct.  
6

7 21. Defendant's breached their duty to properly train and supervise Miller.  
8

9 22. Defendant Miller breached his duty by sexually assaulting then then retaliating  
10 against the Plaintiff.

11 **CLAIM I**  
(Negligent Investigation)

12 23. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15  
13 above as if fully set forth herein.

14 24. By doing the acts described above, Defendants Whatcom County and Sherriff  
15 Bill Elfo were negligent in their duty to keep Plaintiff safe by failing to conduct a  
16 thorough investigation when concerns of improper conduct by Defendant Miller  
were first raised.

17 **CLAIM II**  
18 (Violation of Civil Rights: Excessive Punishment)

19 25. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15  
20 above as if fully set forth herein.

21 26. By doing the acts described above, Defendants caused and/or permitted the  
22 violation of Plaintiff's right against excessive punishment guaranteed by the  
23 Eight Amendment, thereby entitling Plaintiff to recover damages pursuant to 42  
U.S.C. 1983.

24 **CLAIM III**  
25 (Assault in the 4<sup>th</sup> degree with Sexual Motivation)

26 27. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15  
27 above as if fully set forth herein.

28 28. By doing the acts above, Defendants caused Plaintiff to be victims of assault in  
the fourth degree with sexual motivation.

**CLAIM IV**  
(Sexual Misconduct)

29. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15 above as if fully set forth herein.
30. By doing the acts described above, Defendants caused Plaintiff to be victim of sexual misconduct.

**CLAIM V**  
(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress - Outrage)

31. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15 above as if fully set forth herein.
32. By doing the acts described above, Defendant committed extreme and outrageous conduct, which was done intentionally or with reckless disregard as to the consequences, and caused Plaintiff severe emotional distress, and actual damages.

**CLAIM VII**  
(Invasion of Right to Privacy)

33. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15 above as if fully set forth herein.
34. By doing the acts above, Defendants acted in ways that would be objectionable to a reasonable person by monitoring her with the jail security cameras for Miller's own prurient interests.

**CLAIM VIII**  
(Negligent Training)

35. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15 above as if fully set forth herein.
36. By doing the acts above, Defendants failed to provide proper training and/or protocols on handling concerns of sexual misconduct which resulted in damages to Plaintiff.

**CLAIM IX**  
(Negligent Supervision)

37. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15 above as if fully set forth herein.



- 1  
2 38. By doing the acts above, Defendants failed to supervise Miller which resulted in  
3 multiple policy violations and damages to Plaintiff.

4 **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

5 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court enter an order granting him the  
6 following relief:

- 7 a. For general damages in an amount to be proven at trial;  
8 b. Lost earnings and lost future earnings;  
9 c. Emotional distress damages;  
10 d. Punitive damages under 42 U.S.C. sec. 1988;  
11 e. Prejudgment interest;  
12 f. For attorney's fees;  
13 g. For costs of the suit incurred herein;  
14 h. For such other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may  
15 be justly entitled.  
16  
17

18 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

19 Plaintiff hereby requests a jury trial on all issues raised in this complaint.

20 DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2020.

21  
22 /s/ Emily C. Beschen

23 Emily C. Beschen, WSBA#43813  
24 Attorney for Plaintiff

25   
26 Robert D. Butler, WSBA#22475  
27 Attorney for Plaintiff  
28